

Space Telescope

GeV ガンマ線・21cm 輝線・ダスト 放射による近傍分子雲領域の宇宙線 ・ 星間ガスの研究 (Study of Cosmic-ray and Interstellar medium gas in nearby molecular clouds using GeV gamma-rays, 21 cm line and dust emission) T. Mizuno (Hiroshima Univ.), K. Hayashi (ISAS/JAXA), H. Ochi (Hiroshima Univ.), I. Moskalenko, E. Orlando (Stanford Univ.), A. Strong (MPE) 2025 Mar 18 @ ASJ meeting (Mito City Civic Center)





γ-ray provides vital information of interstellar medium (ISM) gas & cosmic rays (CRs)  $I_{\gamma} \propto I_{CR} \cdot N_{H}$ 

Issue: Uncertainty is large (30-50% level) even in the local environment



 $q_{\gamma} = I_{\gamma}/N_{H} (\propto I_{CR})$  varies considerably, and is also higher than expected (directly-measured CRs)

Broad (warm) HI is likely to be optically-thin (Kalberla+20), and we succeeded in modeling gamma rays of MBM 53-55 clouds and Pegasus loop using <u>HI linewidth</u> (Mizuno+22)



To investigate local CRs and ISM-gas, we studied <u>five nearby molecular clouds</u> <u>using Td and HI linewidth</u>. We first re-analyzed MBM 53-55 clouds and Pegasus loop (cf; Mizuno+22), then studied four other regions

2025.03.18

### Properties of clouds

Cloud	Distance (pc)	M <sub>H2, CO</sub> (M <sub>Sun</sub> )
MBM&Pegasus	~150	~800
R CrA	~150	~1600
Chamaeleon	~150	~6000
Cep & Pol	~450	~72000
Orion	~450	~180000

Example of the line profile 도 10 8 (one narrow and three broad lines) -80 -60 -40 -20 0 20 40 60 Planck T353 V. [km/s] **Cepheus & Polaris** Chamaeleon MBM 53-55 & Pegasus loop Orion **R**CrA 1e-06 0.0001

### T. Mizuno



### Data Analysis of MBM & Pegasus

We prepared gas templates from radio line surveys;  $W_{CO}$  map and <u>narrow/broad-HI maps</u>

 Different spatial distributions allow to <u>distinguish different gas</u> <u>phases</u>, and broad-HI map allows to <u>accurately measure HI</u> <u>emissivity (CR intensity)</u>

These line surveys likely miss CO-dark  $H_2$ ; we prepared residual gas (RG) template from Planck  $T_{353}$  (as dust excess with  $T_d$  and HI linewidth considered)







We fit  $\gamma$ -ray data with W<sub>CO</sub>, N<sub>HI,narrow</sub>, N<sub>HI,broad</sub>, RG (+sources, etc.); narrow-HI gives higher emissivity than broad-HI by 30%, confirming <u>narrow-HI to be opt. thick</u> (N<sub>HI</sub>>N<sub>HI,thin</sub>)

We multiplied the ratio (~1.3) to narrow-HI and constructed a single (local)  $N_{HI,corr}$  map. Fit using the map gives <u>emissivity spectrum compatible with a model based on directly-measured CR</u>





We prepared ISM gas templates in the same way for other clouds

Through gamma-ray data analysis, we found that narrow-HI always gives larger emissivity than broad HI, confirming <u>narrow HI to be optically thick</u>

We also found that  $N_{HI,corr}$  always gives <u>emissivity</u> <u>spectrum compatible with directly-measured CR</u>

emissivity ratio of narrow-HI to broad-HI (preliminary)

MBM&Pegasus	1.27+/-0.05		
RCrA	1.12+/-0.03		
Chamaeleon	1.21+/-0.04		
Cep&Pol	1.61+/-0.07		
Orion	1.41+/-0.03		





Assuming uniform CR intensity in each region, we evaluated integrated H column density (proportional to gas mass) of each gas phase in unit of 10<sup>22</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> deg<sup>2</sup>

- Assuming that RG template traces CO-dark H<sub>2</sub>, ratios of CO-dark H<sub>2</sub> to optical depth correction are 2-8, indicating that <u>dark gas is mainly CO-dark H2</u>
- Ratio of CO-dark H<sub>2</sub> to CO-bright H<sub>2</sub> is 1-10; small clouds are more CO-dark

(Preliminary)	MBM&Pegasus	RCrA (   <20deg)	Chamaeleon	Cep&Pol	Orion
Broad HI	39.9	59.2	37.3	19.1	57.2
Narrow HI (opt. thin case + correction)	18.0 + 5.0	18.5 + 2.2	16.0 + 3.4	7.8 + 4.7	19.9 + 7.7
Non-local HI	2.8		0.7	4.2	2.5
Residual gas	9.0	17.3 (10.3)	10.5	10.5	21.4
CO-bright H2	1.1	2.5 (2.2)	7.7	10.8	25.4
C Mizuno 2025 03 18 7/					

# Gas Property in Solar Neighborhood

Assuming uniform CR intensity in each region, we evaluate density (proportional to gas mass) of each gas phase

- Assuming that RG template traces CO-dark H<sub>2</sub>, ratios correction are 2-8, indicating that <u>dark gas is mainly CC</u>
- Ratio of CO-dark H<sub>2</sub> to CO-bright H<sub>2</sub> is 1-10; small clouds are more CO-dark

(should be considered when analyzing individual sources)

(Preliminary)	MBM&Pegasus	RCrA (   <20deg)	Chamaeleon	Cep&Pol	Orion
Broad HI	39.9	59.2	37.3	19.1	57.2
Narrow HI (opt. thin case + correction)	18.0 + 5.0	18.5 + 2.2	16.0 + 3.4	7.8 + 4.7	19.9 + 7.7
Non-local HI	2.8		0.7	4.2	2.5
Residual gas	9.0	17.3 (10.3)	10.5	10.5	21.4
CO-bright H2	1.1	2.5 (2.2)	7.7	10.8	25.4
C Mizuno 2025.03.18 7					





We applied HI-line-profile based analysis to five nearby clouds to accurately measure CR and gas properties

- Narrow HI always gives larger emissivity, confirming it to be <u>optically-thick</u>
- Broad HI gives <u>emissivity (CR intensity) compatible with a model for LIS</u>. Higher emissivity (CR intensity) in areas closer to inner Galaxy is observed
- We found that ratio of CO-dark H2 (traced by residual gas template) to optical depth correction is >1, indicating that <u>dark gas is mainly CO-dark H2</u>
- Ratio of CO-dark H2 to CO-bright H2 anti-correlates with M<sub>H2,CO</sub>; this should be considered when discussing individual accelerators

# Thank you for your attention



- Ackermann et al. 2012, ApJ 755, 22
- Ackermann et al. 2012, ApJ 756, 4
- Ackermann et al. 2017, ApJ 840, 43
- Casandjian et al. 2022, ApJ 940, 116
- Dame et al. 1987, ApJ 322, 706
- Grenier et al. 2005, Science 307, 1292
- Hayashi et al. 2019, ApJ 884, 130
- Heiless & Troland 03, ApJ 586, 1067
- Kalberla et al. 2020, A&A 639, 26
- Orlando 2018, MNRAS 475, 2742
- Planck Collab. 2015, A&A 582, 31
- Porter et al. 2017, ApJ 846, 23
- Mizuno et al. 2022, ApJ 935, 97
- Yamamoto et al. 2006, ApJ 642, 307

**Backup Slide** 



Uncertainties of ISM gas & CRs are large even in the local environment

Key: Identify optically thin HI (N<sub>HI</sub>  $\propto$  W<sub>HI</sub>) and distinguish thick HI and CO-dark H<sub>2</sub>

- <u>Kalberla+18&20</u> provided possible solution
  - They decomposed HI lines in several gaussians, and found narrow HI is associated with dust excess (dark gas = thick HI or CO-dark  $H_2$ )



"We should look at broad HI to find optically thin HI"

(Kalberla+ interpreted narrow HI to be CO-dark  $H_2$ , but <u>we</u> interpret it to be "thick HI"

Gamma-ray Space Telescope

Most of previous works used HI templates with uniform spin temperature ( $T_s$ ), and reported  $\gamma$ -ray emissivity larger than that expected from directly-measured CR spectra

Analysis using HI line width gives emissivities <u>compatible with LIS</u>. <u>Hint of higher emissivity</u> (<u>CR intensity</u>) in areas closer to the inner Galaxy



# Common Trends in ISM Templates and Results



Gamma-ray Space Telescope

- Residual gas always <u>surrounds CO-bright H2</u>
- Narrow HI always shows more filamentary structure than broad HI

(templates for Chamaeleon) -- +







Gamma-ray Space Telescope

> (see also Heiless & Troland 03) Kalberla+20 found narrow-line HI gas

> is associated with dark gas [gas not properly traced by HI and CO lines] and broad-line HI gas with optically thin HI

- $T_{\rm D}$  (Doppler temperature)=22\* $\delta v^2$
- Vertical axis shows ratio of N<sub>H</sub><sup>tot</sup> to N<sub>HI</sub><sup>thin</sup> (estimated using dust emission)
- Areas of ratio>>1 (dark-gas rich) are with narrow-line HI



20

15

10

5

 $N_{H_2}$ )/  $N_{HI}$ 

2 ( N<sub>HI</sub> + 1 Hydrogen column density correction factor  $f_c(T_D)$ 

57.9 - 28.3 \*log(T<sub>D</sub>)

7.0 - 1.9 \* $\log(T_{D})$ 



Some fraction of ISM gas is optically thick HI or CO-dark  $H_2$ , and is not properly traced by HI and CO lines (Grenier+05). While optically thick HI may be traced by narrow-line HI, CO-dark  $H_2$  cannot. To construct this residual gas (RG) template for gamma-ray data analysis, we developed an iterative procedure. We use Planck dust emission ( $D_{em}$ ) maps, specifically R2 radiance map, (original) T353 map, and T353 map by Casandjian+22

(1a) Select areas of low  $W_{CO}$  ( $W_{CO} < W_{CO\_th}$ ), high  $T_d(T_d > T_{d\_th})$ , and are broad-HI rich (frac of  $W_{HI, broad} > f_{th}$ ) throughout step #1 (1b) (skip in the 1st iteration) Examine residual and select pixels within peak +/- 3\*rms.

(1c) Fit  $D_{em}$  map with  $W_{HI, narrow}$ ,  $W_{HI, broad}$ , and offset. If coefficients do not change significantly, quit the loop. Otherwise move back to (1b)

(2) Use obtained fit coefficients and calculate residuals of  $\rm D_{em}$  in high  $\rm W_{CO}$  areas for the entire ROI. Fit the residual with  $\rm W_{CO}$ 

(3) Use three coefficients and an offset (obtained in steps #1 and #2) and construct the RG template with median-filter (sigma=10') applied
T. Mizuno 2025.03.18

Residual of D<sub>em</sub> (based on **T353** byCasandjian+22, in 10<sup>-6</sup>)





We confirmed difference of  $\tau$ 353 by ~0.7e-6 in MBM 53-55 clouds (left) and other regions

Two RG templates are similar as expected (middle and right) and give similar logL in gamma-ray data analysis



#### (All plots are of MBM 53-55 & Pegasus loop)



### **Testing IC Models**



Porter+17 provides 9 IC models (3 ISRFs, 3 CR distributions)

While 3 ISRFs give similar IC maps, 3 CR source distributions give different spatial distribution; we prepare 3 IC maps of different CR source distributions (with "standard" ISRF) and adopt the model that gives best fit in gamma-ray data analysis



### RCrA Molecular Cloud (Fermi Bubble)

We employed the FB template in Ackermann+17 (upper right), but there remain coherent positive residuals in  $(I,b)\sim(0,-30)$  and (-10,-32) and coherent negative residuals in I=330-334 (bottom)

• Those positive residuals correspond to holes in the template map that positionally coincide with brobs in soft component (Ackermann+17), and negative residuals are at peripherals of the FB template

We filled holes in the template and removed peripherals; new template gives improved fit to  $\gamma$ -ray data





# CR & Gamma-Ray Fit Results (Mizuno+22)



- Our LIS model reproduces data & agrees with Boschini+20
- γ-ray emissivity; p-p (Kamae+06 and AAfrag) + e-bremss (Orlando2018)
  - $\circ~$  We also take into account CR  $\alpha$  and ISM He explicitly and consider heavier nuclei based on the formalism of Kachelriess et al. (2014)

### T. Mizuno

Gamma-ray Space Telescope

2025.03.18